The primary purpose of this research is to work out a new action of Lie group through dual representation. In our paper we mention the basic definitions, we'll discuss the study of activity for Lie group upon Hom-space utilizing equivalence relationship between tensor product and Hom. Their measures will be studied on a structure consisting of four and five vector spaces. In the end we obtain new generalizations using action of dual representation for Lie group $G$.
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**1. Introduction:**

Define $G$ to be Lie group. It is finite dimensional manifold which being as well a group, the structure will be the multiplication $G \times G \rightarrow G$ and a taaching of an inverse function $G \rightarrow G$, $g \rightarrow g^{-1}$ are smooth maps. [6]. In [1] Hall B.C. composed a book of Lie group and explained the algebras. And we will use double representation for Lie group, because it works the group’s action on some vector space. Schu'r, Lemma presented the concept of action for Lie algebra upon the linear maps, from $Z_2$ into $Z_1$, referred via $Hom(Z_2,Z_1)$, such that $Hom(Z_2,Z_1) \cong Z_2^* \otimes Z_1$ [1]. Also, the interest in the present work is to give representations by inter wine dual of the actions, and explain the action's structure via a diagram. And then generalizing them. In this paper we symbolize for drawings quadrilaterals and pentagons by (QTA) and (PTA), respectively, see [8], and pentagons by (QTA) and (PTA), respectively. see [8]. In 2o16 , H.I.Lefta and T.H.Majeed " Action of

2. Basic Concepts:

In this section gives the main definitions of group action and group representation.

Lemma (2.1): [3]

Assume that \( w'_1 \) & \( w'_2 \) are representations for Lie algebra \( g \) affects the finite dimensional spaces \( Z_2 \) & \( Z_1 \), correspondingly. It defines the T-action of \( g \) upon \( Hom_F(Z_2, Z_1) \), \( w: g \to gl(Hom_F(Z_2, Z_1)) \) for all \( v \in g \), \( h \in Hom_F(Z_2, Z_1) \),

\[ w_1(v)h - hw_2(v) \] and \( Hom(Z_2, Z_1) = Z_2^* \otimes Z_1 \) as the equivalence of rep.

Definition (2.2): [9]

Let Lie group \( G \), be finite dim. real (complex) representations of \( G \) being a homomorphism of Lie group, \( w: G \to GL(n, R) = (n \geq 1) \). In general, a homomorphism for the Lie group is \( w: G \to GL(Z) \) where \( Z \) has the characteristics of a real (complex) vector space and has a finite number of dimensions \( Z \geq 1 \).

\( w_1 \) and \( w_2 \) being the representation on \( (w_1 \otimes w_2)(v, r) = w_1(v) \otimes w_2(r) \) for all \( v \in G \) and \( r \in H \).

Definition (2.3): [8]

Let \( w_i, i = 1,2, ..., m \) are representations of Lie group \( G \) affects the vector spaces \( Z_i, i = 1,2, ..., m \) then the direct sum of \( w_i \), bring the representation defined by: \( w_1 \oplus w_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus w_m(v) \)

\( (Z_1, Z_2, ..., Z_m) = w_1(v)Z_1, w_2(v)Z_2, ..., w_m(s)Z_m \) for all \( r \in G, Z_1, Z_2, ..., Z_m \in \times Z_1 \times Z_2 \times \cdots \times Z_m \).

Definition (2.4): [2]

Let both \( G \) and \( H \) groups of liars, let \( w_1 \) is a rendition of \( G \) effects the space \( Z_1 \) and let \( w_2 \) is a rendition of \( H \) effects the space \( Z_2 \), then the tensor product of \( (w_1 \otimes w_2)(v, r) = w_1(v) \otimes w_2(r) \).

Definition (2.5): [5]

Let \( Z_1 \) & \( Z_2 \) being space of real (complex) vectors of finite dimensions, after that, a tensor product of \( Z_1 \) & \( Z_2 \) is a vector space \( Z \), together with a bilinear map.
$I: Z_1 \times Z_2 \rightarrow Z(Z_1 \otimes Z_2)$ having this quality: if $\varphi$ is every bilinear map of $Z_1 \times Z_2$ into a vector space $Z$, then there exists a sole linear map $\overline{\varphi}$ of $Z$ into $Z$, the next diagram commutes, and so forth.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
Z_1 \times Z_2 & \xrightarrow{I} & Z(Z_1 \otimes Z_2) \\
\downarrow{\varphi} & & \vartriangleleft \exists! \overline{\varphi} \\
\tilde{Z} & & \\
\end{array}
$$

Figure(1)

**Definition (2.6):** [4]

Assume $G$ is Lie group as well as $w$ is representation of $G$ effects the vector space $Z$. After that, the model of dual $w$ to $w$ is an expression of $G$ effects the $Z$ provided via :

$w^*(v) = [w(v^{-1})]^tr$ Dual representation is also known as the contragredient representation.

**Example (2.7) :**

Let $w = S^1 \rightarrow So(2, \mathbb{R})$, where $S^1 = e^{i\theta} = \cos \theta \cos \theta + i \sin \sin \theta$ such that

$S^1 = \{ (\cos \theta, \sin \theta), 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi \}$, and

$w(\cos \cos \theta, \sin sin \theta) = (\cos \cos \theta - \sin \sin \theta \sin \sin \theta \cos \cos \theta)$, $w(e^{i\theta}) = (\cos \cos \theta - \sin \sin \theta \sin \sin \theta \cos \cos \theta)$,

$w$ is representation of Lie group $S^1$. Then

$v = e^{i\theta}, w(e^{i\theta}) = (\cos \cos \theta - \sin \sin \theta \sin \sin \theta \cos \cos \theta)$, $v^{-1} = \cos \cos \theta - i \sin \sin \theta$

$w(v)^{-1} = (\cos \cos \theta - \sin \sin \theta \sin \sin \theta \cos \cos \theta)$, then $[w(v)^{-1}]^tr = (\cos \cos \theta - \sin \sin \theta \sin \sin \theta \cos \cos \theta)$.
3. The equivalence between the Lie group (QAT) and the Hom-space, Tensor product:

The action (QAT), (PTA) of G upon Hom-space and upon tensor product will be studied in the present section.

**Proposition (3.1):**

Let $w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4$ being the four representations for the Lie group affects the vector spaces $Z_1, Z_2, Z_3, Z_4$, correspondingly, put $\text{Hom}_m(\text{Hom} (Z_1^*, Z_2), \text{Hom}(Z_3, Z_4^*))$ be $M$-vector space of all linear mappings from $Z_4^*$ to $Z_1^*$ and from $\text{Hom} (Z_1^*, Z_2)$ to $\text{Hom}(Z_3, Z_4^*)$. Then the (QAT) of the Lie group on $\text{Hom}_m(\text{Hom} (Z_1^*, Z_2), \text{Hom}(Z_3, Z_4^*))$.

**Proof:**

Define $\psi: G \rightarrow GL (\text{Hom}_m(\text{Hom} (Z_1^*, Z_2), \text{Hom}(Z_3, Z_4^*)))$ such that:

$$\psi(v)h = w_1(v) \circ h_1 \circ w_4(v),$$

for all $v \in G$, $h \in \text{Hom}(Z_1, Z_4)$. The following diagram can be used to show that the action of Lie group G on $\text{Hom}(Z_1, Z_4)$ is as follows $\psi(v)h = w_2(v) \circ h_2 \circ w_3(v)$.

![Figure (2)](image)

Where $\psi: G \rightarrow GL (\text{Hom}_m(\text{Hom} (Z_1^*, Z_2), \text{Hom}(Z_3, Z_4^*)))$ induced by representation, such that $\psi(v)h = [(w_4(v)^{-1} \circ h_2 \circ w_3(v) \circ h_3 \circ w_1(v)^{-1})]$, for all $v \in G$ and $h_i: Z_i \rightarrow M$ thus $w^*$ is representation from $G$ to Hom-space
Since \((\text{Hom}_m(\text{Hom}(Z_1^*, Z_2), \text{Hom}(Z_3, Z_4^*)) \cong ((Z_1^*, Z_2)^* \otimes (Z_3, Z_4^*)) \cong ((Z_1 \otimes Z_2^*) \otimes (Z_3^*, Z_4)))\), since \(\text{Hom}_m(Z_2, Z_1) \cong (Z_2^* \otimes Z_1)\), so we construct the action of \(G\) on the product. It is the bilinear map, therefore via utilizing By virtue of the tensor product and the universal quality of this tensor product, one obtains a special linear map:

\[(Z_1 \times Z_2^*) \times (Z_3^* \times Z_4) \xrightarrow{\text{categorical}} (Z_1 \otimes Z_2^*) \otimes (Z_3^* \otimes Z_4)\]

Figure (3)

And we will explain related between the (QTA) of the Lie group on \(\text{Hom}_m(\text{Hom}(Z_1^*, Z_2), \text{Hom}(Z_3, Z_4^*))\) and (QTA) of the Lie group on \(((Z_1 \otimes Z_2^*) \otimes (Z_3^*, Z_4))\) up to the representation given:

Figure (4)
Proposition (3.2):

Let \( w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4 \) being the four representations for the Lie group effects the vector spaces \( Z_1, Z_2, Z_3, Z_4 \), correspondingly, put \( Hom_m((Z_4, Hom(Z_3, Z_2^*) , Z_1^*)) \) be \( M \)-vector space of all linear mappings from \( Z_3 \) to \( Z_2^* \) and from \( Hom(Z_3, Z_2^*) \) to \( Z_1^* \) and \( Z_4 \) to \( Hom(Hom(Z_3, Z_2^*) , Z_1^*) \). Then the (QAT) of the Lie group on \( Hom_m((Z_4, Hom(Z_3, Z_2^*)) , Z_1^*) \).

Proof:

Define : \( G \to GL Hom_m((Z_4, Hom(Z_3, Z_2^*)) , Z_1^*) \). By pro(3.1) such that:

\[
\left[ (w_1(v)^{-1} \circ h_1 \circ (w_2(v)^{-1} \circ h_2 \circ w_3(v))) \right] \circ h_3 \circ w_4(v). \text{ For all } v \in G, h_i: Z_i \to M. \text{ Where } w^* \text{ is representation from } G \text{ to Hom-space.}
\]

Since \( (Hom_m(Z_4, Hom(Z_3, Z_2^*)) , Z_1^*)) \equiv (Z_4^* \otimes (Z_3 \otimes Z_2^*)^* \otimes Z_1^*). \)

The following diagram illustrates representation from \( G \) to Hom-space

[Diagram of Proposition (3.2)]
Therefore, one gets a unique linear map by utilizing the tensor product and universal property.

\[(Z_4 \times (Z_3 \times Z_2)^* \times Z_1) \text{ canonical} \rightarrow (Z_4 \otimes (Z_3 \otimes Z_2)^* \otimes Z_1^*)\]

Figure (7)

The following representation illustrates the relationship between (QTA) on Hom-space and (QTA) on tensor product, given as:

Figure (8)

**Proposition (3.3):**

Let \(w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4, w_5\), be five representations of Lie group affects the vector spaces \(Z_1, Z_2, Z_3, Z_4, Z_5\), correspondingly. Put \(\text{Hom}_m(Z_5, \text{Hom} \ (\text{Hom}(Z_4, Z_3^*), Z_2^* \otimes Z_1^*))\) be \(M\)-vector space of all linear mappings from \(Z_4^*\) to \(Z_3\) as well as \(\text{Hom}(Z_4, Z_3^*)\) to \(Z_2^* \otimes Z_1^*\), from \(Z_5\) to \(\text{Hom}(\text{Hom}(Z_4, Z_3^*), Z_2^* \otimes Z_1^*)\).

Then the (QTA) of the Lie group on \(\text{Hom}_m(Z_5, \text{Hom}(Z_4, Z_3^*)), Z_2^* \otimes Z_1^*)\).
Proof:

Define \( \sigma : \mathcal{G} \to \text{GL} (\text{Hom}(Z_5, \text{Hom}(Z_4, Z_3^*), Z_2^* \otimes Z_1^*)) \) induced by

\[
\sigma(v)h = [(w_1(v)^{-1} \oplus w_2(v)^{-1})] \circ h \circ (w_3(v)^{-1} \circ h_2 \circ w_4(v))]
\]

\( \circ h \circ w_5(v) \). For all \( v \in \mathcal{G} \) and \( h_i : Z_i \to M \), where \( w^* \) is representation from \( \mathcal{G} \) to Hom-space.

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Figure (9)} \\
Z_5 \xleftarrow{h_3} \xleftarrow{w_1(v)^{-1}} Z_5 \\
\downarrow h_3 \\
Z_4 \xleftarrow{\hat{h}} Z_4 \\
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Figure (10)} \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{bilinear map} \\
\downarrow \\
(Hom_m(Z_5, \text{Hom}(Z_4, Z_3^*)), Z_2^* \oplus Z_1^*) \text{ canonical} \\
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{c}
\text{linear map} \\
\downarrow \\
(Z_5^* \times (Z_4 \times Z_3^*)^* \times Z_2 \oplus Z_1) \quad (Z_4^* \otimes (Z_3 \otimes Z_2)^* \otimes Z_2 \oplus Z_1)
\end{array}
\end{array}
\]

Therefore, one gets a sole linear map by utilizing the tensor product and universal property.

\[
(Hom_m(Z_5, \text{Hom}(Z_4, Z_3^*)), Z_2^* \oplus Z_1^*) \text{ canonical} \to (Z_5^* \otimes (Z_3 \otimes Z_2)^* \otimes Z_2 \oplus Z_1)
\]

The following representation illustrates the relationship between (PTA) on Hom-space and (PTA) on tensor product, given:
Proposition (3.4) :

Let $Z_1, Z_2, Z_3, Z_4, Z_5$, being the vector spaces, $Z_i^*$ is the dual of vectors $Z_i$, and $i = 1,2,3,4,5$, then the following assertions are:

I. $\text{Hom}_m \left( Z_5, \text{Hom}(Z_4^*, \text{Hom}(Z_3^*, Z_2) \oplus \text{Hom}(Z_3^*, Z_1)) \right)^*$. 

II. $\text{Hom}_m \left( \text{Hom}(Z_1^*, Z_3^*) \oplus \text{Hom}(Z_2^*, Z_3^*), \text{Hom}(Z_4^*, Z_5^*) \right)$.  

III. $\text{Hom}_m \left( \text{Hom}(Z_1^*, Z_3) \oplus \text{Hom}(Z_2^*, Z_3, M), \text{Hom}(Z_4, Z_5^*) \right)$.  

IV. $\text{Hom}_m \left( \text{Hom}(Z_1^*, Z_3) \oplus \text{Hom}(Z_2^*, Z_3, M), \text{Hom}(Z_4, Z_5^*) \right)$.  

V. $\left( \text{Hom}_m \left( Z_5, \text{Hom}(Z_4^*, \text{Hom}(Z_3^*, Z_2) \oplus \text{Hom}(Z_3^*, Z_1)) \right) \right)_{n=2}$. 

$= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\text{Hom}_m \left( Z_5, \text{Hom}(Z_4^*, \text{Hom}(Z_3^*, Z_2) \oplus \text{Hom}(Z_3^*, Z_1)) \right) & \text{if } n \text{ is an even number.} \\
\text{Hom}_m \left( Z_5^*, \text{Hom}(Z_4, \text{Hom}(Z_2^*, Z_3) \oplus \text{Hom}(Z_1^*, Z_3)) \right) & \text{if } n \text{ is an odd number.}
\end{array} \right.$
Proof:

$I \cong II$ to show that:

\[
\left(\text{Hom}_m\left(Z_5, \text{Hom}(Z_4^*, \text{Hom}(Z_3^*, Z_2) \oplus \text{Hom}(Z_1^*, Z_1))\right)\right)^* \cong \text{Hom}_m\left(\text{Hom}(Z_1^*, Z_3^*) \oplus \text{Hom}\left(Z_2^*, Z_3^\prime\right), \text{Hom}(Z_4^*, Z_5^*)\right).
\]

Let \( h_4 \in \text{Hom}(Z_5, Z_4^*), \) where \( h_4: Z_5 \to Z_4^* \).

And \( h_4^* \in \left(\text{Hom}(Z_5, Z_4^*)\right)^* \);

\( h_3 \in \text{Hom}(Z_4, Z_3), \) where \( h_3: Z_4 \to Z_3 \); and \( h_3^* \in \left(\text{Hom}(Z_4, Z_3)\right)^* \); and

\( h_3^* \in \left(\text{Hom}(Z_4, Z_3)\right)^* \);

\( h_2 \times h_1 \in \text{Hom}(Z_3, Z_2 \times Z_1), \) where \( h_2 \times h_1: Z_3 \to Z_2 \times Z_1 \); and

\[
h_2^* \times h_1^* \in \left(\text{Hom}(Z_3, Z_2 \times Z_1)\right)^*.
\]

And there exists an intertwining map:

\[
\text{Hom}_m\left(Z_5, \text{Hom}(Z_4^*, \text{Hom}(Z_3^*, Z_2) \oplus \text{Hom}(Z_1^*, Z_1))\right) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_m\left(\text{Hom}(\text{Hom}(Z_3, Z_2)^* \oplus \text{Hom}(Z_3, Z_2)^*), Z_5^*\right);
\]

Such that

\( \pi(w^*(v))(a) = w^*(v)\pi(a), \) for all \( v \in w^* \) and \( a \in Z_1^* \times Z_2^*, \) \( \pi \) is an invertible map.

\( II \cong III \) to show that:

\[
\text{Hom}_m\left(Z_5, \text{Hom}(Z_4^*, \text{Hom}(Z_3^*, Z_2) \oplus \text{Hom}(Z_1^*, Z_1))\right) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_m\left(\text{Hom}(Z_1^*, Z_3^* \oplus \text{Hom}(Z_2^*, Z_3), \text{Hom}(Z_4, Z_5, M))\right).
\]

Since \( Z_5^* \) can be written as \( \text{Hom}(Z_5, M) \), by proof (I). thus:

\[
\text{Hom}_m\left(Z_5^*, \text{Hom}(Z_1^*, Z_3^* \oplus \text{Hom}(Z_2^*, Z_3), \text{Hom}(Z_4, Z_5)\right)) = \text{Hom}_m\left(\text{Hom}(Z_1^*, Z_3^* \oplus \text{Hom}(Z_2^*, Z_3), \text{Hom}(Z_4, Z_5, M))\right)
\]

By the same method, we have the other parts.

**Corollary (3.5):**

Let \( GL(l_i, G) \cong GL\left(w_5^* \otimes \left(w_4 \otimes \left((w_3 \otimes w_2^*) \oplus (w_3 \otimes w_1)\right)\right)\right)\)
Where \( i = 1,2,3,4,5 \) are a matrix representations, after that the (PTA) for Lie group of \( G \) on

\[
\left( w_5^* \otimes \left( w_4 \otimes ((w_3 \otimes w_2^*) \oplus (w_3 \otimes w_1)) \right) \right)^* \]

is

\[
w^*(v) = \left( (w_5(v))^{tr} \otimes (w_4(v)^{-1})^{tr} \otimes ((w_4(v)^{-1})^{tr}) \otimes (w_2(v))^{tr} \right) \oplus \left( (w_3(v)^{-1})^{tr} \otimes (w_1(v)^{-1})^{tr} \right), \text{ for all } \in G.
\]

Proof:

\[
w^*(v) = \left( (w_5(v))^{-1} \otimes (w_4(v) \otimes (w_3(v) \otimes w_2(v)^{-1})) \oplus (w_3(v) \otimes w_1(v)) \right)^* = \left( (w_5(v))^{tr} \otimes (w_4(v)^{-1})^{tr} \otimes ((w_4(v)^{-1})^{tr}) \otimes (w_2(v))^{tr} \right) \oplus \left( (w_3(v)^{-1})^{tr} \otimes (w_1(v)^{-1})^{tr} \right), \text{ for all } \in G.
\]

And \( w^*(vt) = (w(vt))^{tr} \)

\[
= (w(t)^{-1}w(v)^{-1})
\]

\[
= (w(v)^{-1})^{tr}(w(t)^{-1})^{tr}
\]

\[
= w^*(v)w^*(t), \text{ for all } \in G.
\]

Hence the T-action is a dual matrix dual representation.

4. Conclusion:

In this paper, we have provided on overview of the Lie group, Lie algebra, representation of the Lie group, and tensor product have been defined and associated with dual model by new structures consisting of tetramers and pentagons vector spaces by actions on \( Hom_m \left( (Z_4^*, Hom(Z_3, Z_2^*)), Z_1 \right) \) In the proposals. Then we generalized it.
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