



Some Dominating Applications on Discrete Topological Graphs

Zahraa M. Kalil^{1, (1)}, Mohammed A. Abdlhusein^{2, (1)}, Mohammad R. Farahani^{3, (1)}

¹ Department of Mathematics, College of Education for Pure Sciences University of Thi-Qar, Thi-Qar, Iraq ² College of Education for WomenShatrah University, Thi-Qar, Iraq

³ Department of Mathematics and Computer Sciences Iran University of Science and Technology (IUST), Narmak, Tehran 16844, Iran

* Corresponding email: ¹zahraamalik.math@utq.edu.iq

Received 2 / 5 /2024, Accepted 4 / 8 /2024, Published 01/03/2025



Abstract:

In this paper several types of dominating parameters are applied on a discrete topological graph $G_{\tau} = (V, E)$ created from the topological space (X, τ) , where $V(G_{\tau})$ is the set of vertices in G_{τ} that includes all subset of τ unless X, \emptyset , and $E(G_{\tau})$ is a set of edges between any two vertices in which any two vertices in G_{τ} such that $|A| + |B| \ge |X|$; $A \ne B$. Some dominating parameters on G_{τ} are studied such as: general domination, connected domination, doubly connected domination, and polynomial domination. Besides the minimum dominating set is proved for any topological graph formed by corona operation or join operation between two topological graphs. Also, the inverse domination is discussed.

Keywords: Discrete Topological Graph, Domination number, Inverse domination, Polynomial domination.

1-Introduction

Let G = (V, E) be a simple graph has no isolated vertices, connected, undirected graph. The order of a graph G is the number of the vertices in a given graph and is denoted by |V(G)| The degree of any vertex in a graph is the number of the edges that incident on it [24]. $\Delta(G_{\tau})$ is maximum degree and $\delta(G)$ is minimum degree. For any two vertices $w, v \in V(G)$ if there is an edge between them, then the are adjacent. The isolated vertex v of degree zero. If one or more of the vertices of D are adjacent to each vertex of V, then the subset D is referred to as the dominant set [23]. The domination number is the order of a minimum dominating set $\gamma(G)$. Let G be a topoplogical graph with a minimum dominating set D. If V – D is dominating set w.r.t D, then V – D is called inverse dominating, denoted by γ^{-1} [4,7,18]. Join of G_1 and G_2 is graph having vertex set $V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$ and edge $E(G_1) \cup E(G_2) \cup \{uv: u \in V(G_1) \text{ and } v \in V(G_2)\}$. Corona is taking one copy of G_1 and p_1 copies of G_2 and joining the jth vertex of to all vertices jth copy of $G_2[12]$. Also, if G[D] is connected, then it is called a connected dominating set. And if both G[D] and G[V - D] are connected D is a doubly connected subgraphs . In 2023 studied of transforming the discrete topology into a graph by Mohammad Abdali and Zainab Naeem, when placed a condition on edges such that $E(G_{\tau}) = \{A B; A \notin B \text{ and } B \notin A\}$ see [15]. In this present a new study of transforming the discrete topology into a graph with

new condition on edges such that $E(G_{\tau}) = \{A B; |A| + |B| \ge |X|; A \ne B\}$. The terms "domination number" and "dominating set" were first used by Ore [21] in 1962. Also, many researches have applied different types of domination graph. M. Al-Harere and M. Abdlhusein presented the pitchfork domination, a new model of domination in graphs [9] in 2020. Stability of inverse pitchfork domination was introduced by M. A. Abdhusein [3] in 2021. The definition of arrow domination was put up by Suha Jaber Radhi et al. [22], and its characteristics were determined in 2021. It has been studied many result domination on discrete topological graph et al [13,16,19].

2- Domination in Topological graphs

- **Definition 2.1**: Let X be a nonempty set of order n and let τ be a discrete topology graph on X. The discrete topological graph DTG denoted by $G_{\tau} = (V, E)$ is a graph has a vertices set $V = \{A; A \in \tau, A \text{ is nonempty proper subset of } X\}$ and edges set $E = \{AB; |A| + |B| \ge |X|; A, B \in V(G) \land A \neq B\}$.
- **Theorem 2.2**: Let G_{τ} be a DTG on a non-empty set X, then $\gamma(G_{\tau}) = \gamma^{-1}(G_{\tau}) = 1$.

Proof : If n = 2, then $G_{\tau} \cong K_2$ by definition 2.1. Let v be any vertex in G_{τ} . Since v is adjacent with only one vertex. Thus, the minimum dominating set of a graph G_{τ} has only vertex say v such that $D = \{v\}$. Hence, $\gamma(G_{\tau}) = 1$. Now, by same technique of proof above $D^{-1} = \{v'\}$. Hence, $\gamma^{-1}(G_{\tau}) = 1$. See Figure 2.1. If $n \ge 3$, let v be any vertex has n - 1 elements in G_{τ} [S] and u be any another vertex in G_{τ} . By condition $|v| + |u| \ge |X|$, then v is adjacent with all vertices in G_{τ} . So, the vertex v dominates all vertices in a graph G_{τ} . Thus, the graph has $D = \{v\}$ is a minimum dominating set in G_{τ} . Therefore, $\gamma(G_{\tau}) = 1$. As an example see Figure 2.1. Now, by same technique of proof above, we take v' be any another vertex has n - 1 elements. Also, the vertex v' dominates all vertices in G_{τ} . Then, the minimum inverse dominating set is $D^{-1} = \{v'\}$. Therefore, $\gamma^{-1}(G_{\tau}) = 1$. For an example, see Figure 1.



Figure 1. Inverse minimum dominating set of G_{τ} for |X| = 2, 3, 4, 5.

• Theorem 2.3: Let G_{τ} be a DTG on a non-empty set X and H_{τ} be a DTG on a non-empty set Y such that |X| = n and |Y| = m, then $\gamma(G_{\tau} \odot H_{\tau}) = \gamma^{-1}(G_{\tau} \odot H_{\tau}) = 2^n - 2$

Proof By definition of corona operation $G_{\tau} \odot H_{\tau}$, every vertex in G_{τ} is adjacent to all vertices of the corresponding one copy of graph H_{τ} . Since for every vertex $v_i \in V(G_{\tau})$, then v_i is adjacent with all vertices of the ith copy of H_{τ} . Thus, v_i dominates all vertices of ith copy of H_{τ} . So, $v_i \in D$. Then, $D = V(G_{\tau})$ is the minimum dominating set of a graph $G_{\tau} \odot H_{\tau}$. Since the order of a graph G_{τ} is $2^n - 2$ according to definition 2.1. Thus, the order of minimum dominating set D is $2^n - 2$. If D is not minimum dominating set let D' be a dominating set of $G_{\tau} \odot H_{\tau}$ such that $|D'| \leq |D|$. Hence, there exist at least one vertex in V - D which is not dominated by any vertex of D'. Then, D is the dominating set of a graph $G_{\tau} \odot H_{\tau}$. Therefore, $\gamma(G_{\tau} \odot H_{\tau}) = 2^n - 2$. As an example see Figure 2.2 and Figure 2.3. To proof the inverse dominating set. We take $v_i \in V(H_{\tau})$ such that v_i that have n - 1 elements. Since v_i dominates all vertices of H_{τ} . Also, the number of all these vertices that belong to D^{-1} formed the minimum invers dominating set. Therefore, $\gamma^{-1}(G_{\tau} \odot H_{\tau}) = 2^n - 2$. As an example see Figure 3.



Figure 2. Minimum dominating and inverse dominating sets for $\mathbf{G}_{\tau} \odot \mathbf{H}_{\tau}$.



Figure 3. Minimum dominating set and invers dominating set for $G_{\tau} \odot H_{\tau}$.

Theorem 2.4: Let G_{τ} be a DTG on set X and H_{τ} be a DTG on set Y such that |X| = n and |Y| = m, then $\gamma(G_{\tau} + H_{\tau}) = \gamma^{-1}(G_{\tau} + H_{\tau}) = 1$.

Proof : Let v be any vertex in G_{τ} has n - 1 elements. Since this vertex is adjacent with all vertices in G_{τ} by proof of Theorem 2.2. Also, this vertex is adjacent with all vertices of a graph H_{τ} by definition of join operations in graph $G_{\tau} + H_{\tau}$. Where, v is dominates all vertices of $G_{\tau} + H_{\tau}$. So, $D = \{v\}$ is the dominating set of $G_{\tau} + H_{\tau}$. Therefore, $\gamma(G_{\tau} + H_{\tau}) = 1$ is the domination number. As an example see Figure 2.4. Now, to find the inverse dominating set of a graph $G_{\tau} + H_{\tau}$ we take v' another vertex of G_{τ} has n - 1 elements in G_{τ} . Then, v is adjacent with all vertices of $G_{\tau} + H_{\tau}$. Therefore, the minimum inverse dominating set is $D^{-1} = \{v'\}$. Also, the inverse domination number of a graph $G_{\tau} + H_{\tau}$ is $\gamma^{-1}(G_{\tau} + H_{\tau}) = 1$. As an example see Figure 5.

• **Proposition 2.4.** Assume that G be any graph of order m has no γ_{dm} – set and is not null graph and has no isolated vertex, then $\gamma_{dm}(K_1 \odot G) = \gamma(G)$.

Proof. Since G has no γ_{dm} – set, but G has γ – set and since there exists one vertex in K₁ is adjacent with all vertices of G and G is not null graph and has no isolated vertex, then each vertex in D of G dominates at least one vertex of G, let $v \in K_1$ belongs to V – D. Then every vertex in D dominates at least one vertex from D of G and the vertex v of K₁. Then, each vertex of D in G dominates two or more vertices of V – D. Then, each vertex of D dominates two or more vertices of V – D and G[D] is disconnected graph such that the vertex v of K₁ belongs to V – D. Hence, $\gamma_{dm}(K_1 \odot G) = \gamma(G)$ and γ_{dm} – set. For example, see Figure 4.



Figure 4. Minimum dominating set in $G_{\tau} + H_{\tau}$.



Figure 5. Invers minimum dominating set in $K_2 + G_{\tau}$ on |X| = 3.

- **Observation 2.5:** For any graph G_{τ} of order $2^n 2$ has domination number. If $\gamma(G_{\tau}) \ge \frac{2^n 2}{2}$, then G_{τ} has no inverse dominating set.
- Theorem 2.6: Let |X| = n and G_{τ} be a DTG on a non-empty set X, then G_{τ} have connected and doubly connected dominating sets such that:

1)
$$\gamma_{c}(G_{\tau}) = \gamma_{c}^{-1}(G_{\tau}) = 1.$$

2) $\gamma_{cc}(G_{\tau}) = \gamma_{cc}^{-1}(G_{\tau}) = 1.$

Proof:1. Depending on Theorem 2.2, since $\gamma(G_{\tau}) = 1$, then G[D] is connected subgraph. Hence, G_{τ} has connected dominating set and $\gamma_c(G_{\tau}) = \gamma(G_{\tau}) = 1$. So that, since $\gamma^{-1}(G_{\tau}) = 1$, then G[D⁻¹] is connected subgraph and $\gamma^{-1}(G_{\tau}) = \gamma_c^{-1}(G_{\tau})1$.

2. Since G_{τ} has no cut vertex by Proposition 2.15 see [17] and G_{τ} is connected graph by proof of Theorem 2.9 see [17]. Then, G[D] and G[V – D] are connected subgraphs. Also that, G[D⁻¹] and G[V – D⁻¹] Hence, $\gamma_{cc}(G_{\tau}) = \gamma_{cc}^{-1}(G_{\tau}) = 1$.

• **Definition 2.7:** [8] Let G be a topological graph on set X and G is simple graph and D(G, i) the family of dominating sets of a graph G with cardinality i, defined domination polynomial as :

$$D(G,X) = \sum_{i=\gamma(G)}^{k} d(G,i)x^{i}$$

where $\gamma(G)$ is a minimum domination number with k vertices in G and $k \ge 2$.

• Example 2.18: Let |X| = n and G_{τ} be a DTG on set X. Then, the domination polynomial of G_{τ} given as follows:

1. If |X| = 2, then

 $D(G_{\tau} \text{ , } X) = \sum_{i=\gamma(G_{\tau} \)}^k d(G_{\tau} \text{ , } i) x^i \text{ where } \gamma(G_{\tau} \) = 1.$

$$D(G_{\tau}, X) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} d(G_{\tau}, i) x^{i}$$
.

The number of dominating sets of order one equal 2 : $\{a\}$ and $\{b\}$

The number of dominating sets of order two equal 1: $\{a, \{b\}\}$

$$D(G_{\tau}, X) = 2x^1 + x^2.$$

- **2.** If |X| = 3, then
- $D(G_{\tau}\mbox{ , }X)=\sum_{i=\gamma(G_{\tau}\mbox{ })}^{k}d(G_{\tau}\mbox{ , }i)x^{i}\mbox{ where }\gamma(G_{\tau}\mbox{ })=1.$

The number of dominating sets of order one equal 3: $\{a, b\}$ and $\{a, c\}$ and $\{b, c\}$. Therefore, $d(G_{\tau}, 1) = 3$

 $\{\{a, c\}, \{a\}\}, \{\{a, c\}, \{b\}\}, \{\{a, c\}, \{c\}\}.$ Therefore, $d(G_{\tau}, 2) = 12$.

The number of dominating sets of order three equal 20:

 $\{\{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}, \{\{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a\}\}, \{\{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b\}\}, \{\{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{c\}\}, \{\{a, b\}, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\}$

 $, \{\{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}, \{a\}, \{\{b, c\}, \{a, c\}, \{b\}\}, \{\{b, c\}, \{a, c\}, \{c\}\}\}$

 $\{\{b, c\}, \{a\}, \{c\}\}, \{\{a\}, \{a, c\}, \{c\}\}, \{\{a, b\}, \{b\}, \{c\}\}, \{\{b, c\}, \{b\}, \{c\}\}, \{\{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{b\}\}\}.$

Therefore, $d(G_{\tau}, 3) = 20$

 $D(G_{\tau}, X) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} d(G_{\tau}, i) x^{i} = d(G_{\tau}, 1) x^{1} + d(G_{\tau}, 2) x^{2} + d(G_{\tau}, 3) x^{3}.$

Then, the domination polynomial is $D(G_{\tau}, X) = 3x^1 + 12x^2 + 20x^3$.

3- Conclusion

We conclude that some of domination in the DTG. Such as: the general domination, corona domination set, join operations between two graphs, connected domination, doubly connected domination, and polynomial domination. Also, some of parameters were shown to have inverse dominance.

References

[1] M. A. Abdlhusein and M. N. Al-Harere, "Some Modified Types of Pitchfork Domination and Its Inverse,"Bol. Soc. Paran. Mat, 40 1-9, 2022.

[2] M. A. Abdlhusein, "Doubly Connected Bi-Domination in Graphs," Discrete Mathematics Algorithms and Applications, vol. 13, no. 2, 2150009, 2021.

[3] M. A. Abdlhusein, "Stability of inverse pitchfork domination," Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl. vol. 12, no. 1, 2021, pp. 1009-1016.

[4] M. A. Abdlhusein and M. N. Al-Harere, "Doubly Connected Pitchfork Domination and Its Inverse in Graphs,"TWMS J. App. Eng. Math. 12 (1) 82-91, 2022.

[5] Z. H. Abdulhasan and M. A. Abdlhusein, "An Inverse Triple Effect Domination in Graphs," Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl., vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 913-919, 2021.

[6] Z. H. Abdulhasan and M. A. Abdlhusein, "Triple Effect Domination in Graphs", AIP Conference Proceedings, 2022, 2386, 060013.

[7] F. Afzal, A. Alsinai, S. Hussain, D. Afzal, F. Chaudhry, & M. Cancan, "On topological aspects of silicate network using M-polynomial". Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography, 24(7), 2109-2119, (2021)..

[8] S. Alikhani, Y.H. Peng, Introduction to domination polynomial of a graph. (2009), arXiv preprint arXiv:0905.2251.

[9] M. N. Al-Harere and M. A. Abdlhusein, "Pitchfork Domination in Graphs," Discrete Mathematics, Algorithms and Applications, 12 (2) 2050025, 2020.

[10] L. K. Alzaki, M. A. Abdlhusein and A. K. Yousif, "Stability of (1,2)-total pitchfork domination," International Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and Applications, vol. 12, no. 2, , pp. 265–274, 2021

[11] F. Harary, Graph theory, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1969.

[12] M. K. Idan and M. A. Abdlhusein, "Some Dominating Results of the Join and Corona Operations Between Discrete Topological Graphs," Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl. accepted to appear, 2022.

[13] A. A. Jabor and A.A. Omran, Domination in discrete topological graph, AIP Conf. Proc. 2183 (2019), 030006.kj.

[14] K. Julietraja, A. Alsinai, and A. Alameri. "Theoretical analysis of superphenalene using different kinds of VDB indices." Journal of Chemistry 2022.

[15] Z. N. Jwair, and M.A. Abdlhusein, "Constructing New Topological Graph with Several Properties," Iraqi Journal of Science, 64 (6) 2991-2999, 2023.

[16] Z. N. Jwair and M. A. Abdlhusein, "Some Dominating Results of the Topological Graph," Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl. accepted to appear, 2022.

[17] Z. M. Kalil and M. A. Abdlhusein, "New Form of Discrete Topological Graphs", AIP Conference Proceedings. accepted to appear, 2024.

[18] V. R. Kulli and S.C. Sigarkanti, Inverse domination in graphs, Nat. Acad. Sci. Letters, India, 14 (1991), 473–475.

[19] K. A. Mhawis, A. B. Attar. "A new Kind of Discrete Topological Graphs with Some Properties." Journal of Education for Pure Science-University of Thi-Qar 14.2 (2024).

[20] A. A Omran, V. mathat, A. Alsinai and M. A., Abdlhusein "Special Intersection Graph in The Topological Graphs." arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.07025 (2022).

[21] O. Ore, "Theory of Graphs," American Mathematical Society, Provedence, R.I., 1962.

[22] S. J., Radhi, M. A., Abdlhusein and A.E. Hashoosh, "The Arrow Domination in Graphs," International Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and Applications, 12(1) 473-480, 2021.

[23] M. S. Rahman, "Basic Graph Theory," Springer, India, 2017

[24] T, J. Richard, "Introduction to graph theory. Courier Corporation," 2013.

[25] Xavier, D. Antony, S. Akhila, A. Alsinai, K. Julietraja, Hanan Ahmed, Arul Amirtha Raja, and Eddith Sarah Varghese, "Distance-based structure characterization of PAMAM-related dendrimers nanoparticle," Journal of Nanomaterials 2022.