

Study The General Boolean Sum (GBS) of New Modification For Baskakov-Bate Operators

Ahmed F. Jabber¹, Amal K. Hassan²

^{1,2} Department of Mathematics , College of Scenic , University of Basra , Basra, Iraq.

* Corresponding email: Pgs.ahmed.fadhil@uobasrah.edu.iq

Received 11 / 3 /2025, Accepted 5 / 5 /2025, Published 1 / 6 /2025



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Abstract:

In this paper, we construct a new sequence of bivariate Basakov – Bate operators based on parameter S . We discuss the rate of convergence of these operators using the partial and total moduli of continuity. A Voronovskaya-type asymptotic result is also established. Further, we introduce the associated GBS (Generalized Boolean Sum) operators and estimate the degree of approximation using the mixed modulus of continuity and a class of the Lipschitz of Bögel type continuous functions .

Keywords: Bivariate Baskakov operators, Voronovskaya theorem, Modulud of continuity, Degree of approximation.

1-Introduction

Baskakov [3] studied a sequence of positive linear operators, called Baskakov operators, for suitable functions defined on an unbounded interval $[0, \infty)$. Gurdek [5] introduced the bivariate Baskakov operators in weighted spaces as follows :

$$U_{n,m}(f; x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} V_{n,k}(x) V_{m,j}(y) g\left(\frac{k}{n}, \frac{j}{m}\right), \quad (1.1)$$

where $V_{n,k}(x) = \binom{n+k-1}{k} x^k (1+x)^{-n-k}$, $V_{m,j}(y) = \binom{m+j-1}{j} y^j (1+y)^{-m-j}$.

A non-negative real parametric generalization of the above sequences was proposed by Aral and Erbay [1] and is know as the α – Baskakov operator . Sonker and Priyanka [8] proposed the stance variant of a bivariate parametrically generalized Baskakov operators operator given by

$$Q_{n_1, n_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2}(f; x, y) = \sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k_2=0}^{\infty} V_{n_1, n_2, k_1, k_2}^{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)}(x, y) f\left(\frac{k_1 + \alpha_1}{n_1 + \beta_1}, \frac{k_2 + \alpha_2}{n_2 + \beta_2}\right), \quad (1.2)$$

where $V_{n_1, n_2, k_1, k_2}^{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)}(x, y) = V_{n_1, k_1}^{\alpha_1}(x) V_{n_2, k_2}^{\alpha_2}(y)$, such that $V_{n_1, k_1}^{\alpha_1}(x)$ defined in [1]. A new sequence of Generalized Bivariate Baskakov Durrmeyer Operators and Associated GBS Operators was designed by Rani and Rao [7]. A new modified form of Baskakov-Bate operators based on parameters S was presented by Jabbar and Hassan [6] in a recent study.

$$L_n(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{n,k}(x) \int_0^{\infty} B_{n,k}(t) f\left(x + \frac{(t-x)}{n^S}\right) dt, \quad (1.3)$$

where

$$P_{n,k}(x) = A(x, n)V_{n+1,k}(x) + A(-1-x, n)V_{n+1,k-1}(x),$$

$$A(x, n) = \mu(n) + \varrho(n)x \text{ and } B_{n,k}(t) = \frac{(n+k)! t^k}{k!(n-1)! (1+t)^{n+k+1}}.$$

2. Construction of bivariate operators $H_{n,m}(f; x, y)$

For $u, v > 0$ and $f \in C_{u,v}(I^2) = \{f \in C(I^2) : |f(x, y)| \leq M(1+x^u)(1+y^v), \forall (x, y) \in I^2\}$, where $I^2 = [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$ and M is positive constant dependent on f , we introduce a new bivariate Baskakov – Bate operators as

$$H_{n,m}(f; x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P_{n,k}(x) P_{m,j}(y) \times \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} B_{n,k}(t) B_{m,j}(z) f\left(x + \frac{(t-x)}{n^S}, y + \frac{(z-y)}{m^S}\right) dt dz, \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$P_{n,k}(x) = A(x, n)V_{n+1,k}(x) + A(-1-x, n)V_{n+1,k-1}(x),$$

$$P_{m,j}(y) = T(y, m)V_{m+1,j}(y) + T(-1-y, m)V_{m+1,j-1}(y),$$

$$B_{n,k}(t) = \frac{(n+k)! t^k}{k!(n-1)! (1+t)^{n+k+1}}, B_{m,j}(z) = \frac{(m+j)! z^j}{j!(m-1)! (1+z)^{m+j+1}},$$

and $A(x, n) = \mu(n) + \varrho(n)x$, $T(y, m) = \mu(m) + \varrho(m)y$. Here $\mu(n), \varrho(n), \mu(m)$ and $\varrho(m)$, are unknown sequences which are to be determined by imposing suitable convergence conditions. For $\mu(n) = \varrho(n) = \mu(m) = \varrho(m) = 1$ and $S = 0$ the operator (2.1) reduces to the ordinary Baskakov – Bate bivariate operator.

Lemma 2.1 : Let $e_{r_1 r_2} = t^{r_1} z^{r_2}$, $r_1, r_2 \in N^0$, then the operator $H_{n,m}(f; x, y)$ satisfy the following identities

$$(1) \quad H_{n,m}(e_{00}; x, y) = (2\mu(n) - \varrho(n))(2\mu(m) - \varrho(m)),$$

$$(2) \quad H_{n,m}(e_{10}; x, y) = \left[(2\mu(n) - \varrho(n))x + \frac{(4\mu(n) - 3\varrho(n))x + (3\mu(n) - 2\varrho(n))}{n^S(n-1)} \right] [2\mu(m) - \varrho(m)],$$

$$(3) \quad H_{n,m}(e_{01}; x, y) = \left[(2\mu(m) - \varrho(m))y + \frac{(4\mu(m) - 3\varrho(m))y + (3\mu(m) - 2\varrho(m))}{m^S(m-1)} \right] [2\mu(n) - \varrho(n)],$$

$$(4) \quad H_{n,m}(e_{11}; x, y) = \left[(2\mu(n) - \varrho(n))x + \frac{(4\mu(n) - 3\varrho(n))x + (3\mu(n) - 2\varrho(n))}{n^S(n-1)} \right] \left[(2\mu(m) - \varrho(m))y + \frac{(4\mu(m) - 3\varrho(m))y + (3\mu(m) - 2\varrho(m))}{m^S(m-1)} \right],$$

$$(5) \quad H_{n,m}(e_{20}; x, y)$$

$$= \left[(2\mu(n) - \varrho(n))x^2 + \frac{[(8x^2 + 6x)\mu(n) - (6x^2 + 4x)\varrho(n)]}{n^{S-1}(n-1)(n-2)} \right. \\ + \frac{[(4x^2 + 4x)\mu(n) - (2x^2 + 2x)\varrho(n)]}{n^{2S-1}(n-1)(n-2)} - \frac{[(12x + 16x^2)\mu(n) - (8x + 12x^2)\varrho(n)]}{n^S(n-1)(n-2)} \\ \left. + \frac{[(8 + 22x + 16x^2)\mu(n) - (6 + 18x + 14x^2)\varrho(n)]}{n^{2S}(n-1)(n-2)} \right] [2\mu(m) - \varrho(m)],$$

$$(6) \quad H_{n,m}(e_{02}; x, y)$$

$$= \left[(2\mu(m) - \varrho(m))y^2 + \frac{[(8y^2 + 6y)\mu(m) - (6y^2 + 4y)\varrho(m)]}{m^{S-1}(m-1)(m-2)} \right. \\ + \frac{[(4y^2 + 4y)\mu(m) - (2y^2 + 2y)\varrho(m)]}{m^{2S-1}(m-1)(m-2)} - \frac{[(12y + 16y^2)\mu(m) - (8y + 12y^2)\varrho(m)]}{m^S(m-1)(m-2)} \\ \left. + \frac{[(8 + 22y + 16y^2)\mu(m) - (6 + 18y + 14y^2)\varrho(m)]}{m^{2S}(m-1)(m-2)} \right] [2\mu(n) - \varrho(n)].$$

Proof: It is simple to get the desired result from (2.1). ■

In order to study the uniformly convergence, we consider that sequences $\mu(n)$, $\varrho(n)$, $\mu(m)$ and $\varrho(m)$ Verify the conditions

$$2\mu(n) - \varrho(n) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 2\mu(m) - \varrho(m) = 1. \quad (2.2)$$

For the sequences, we will examine two cases.

Case 1 : Let

$$\mu(n) - \varrho(n) \geq 0, \mu(n) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(m) - \varrho(m) \geq 0, \mu(m) \geq 0 \quad (2.3)$$

Using (2.2), we get $0 \leq \mu(n) \leq 1$, $-1 \leq \varrho(n) \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \mu(m) \leq 1$, $-1 \leq \varrho(m) \leq 1$. The operator (2.2) is positive in the present case.

Case 2 : Let

$$\mu(n) - \varrho(n) < 0 \text{ or } \mu(n) < 0, \mu(m) - \varrho(m) < 0 \text{ or } \mu(m) < 0. \quad (2.4)$$

If $\mu(n) - \varrho(n) < 0, \mu(m) - \varrho(m) < 0$ then $\mu(n) > 1, \mu(m) > 1$, if $\mu(n) < 0, \mu(m) < 0$ then $\mu(n) - \varrho(n) > 1, \mu(m) - \varrho(m) > 1$. In this case, the operator (2.1) is non positive.

In the next theorem, we prove the $H_{n,m}(f; x, y)$ operator's convergence uniformly to the function $f(x, y)$ using the Korovkin-type theorem.

Theorem 2.2: Let $\mu(n)$, $\varrho(n)$ and $\mu(m)$, $\varrho(m)$ be convergence sequences which verify the conditions (2.2) and (2.3). If $f \in C_{u,v}(I^2)$, then $H_{n,m}(f; x, y)$ converges uniformly to $f(x, y)$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ on $I_{hk} = [0, h] \times [0, k]$ be compact sub set of I^2 .

Proof : From Lemma (2.1), we get

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} H_{n,m}(e_{r_1 r_2}; x, y) = e_{r_1 r_2}(x, y) \quad \text{for } r_1, r_2 \in \{(0,0), (0,1), (1,0)\}$$

and

$$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} H_{n,m}(e_{20} + e_{02}; x, y) = (e_{20} + e_{02})(x, y) \text{ uniformly on } I_{hk}.$$

By applying Theorem (2.1) in [2], we get the required. ■

Definition 2.3. For $(\lambda, \gamma) \in N^0 \times N^0$, we define the (λ, γ) – order moment for the sequence $H_{n,m}(f; x, y)$ by

$$\mathcal{F}_{n,m,\lambda,\gamma}(x, y) = H_{n,m}((t-x)^\lambda(z-y)^\gamma; x, y). \quad (2.5)$$

Lemma 2.4. For $(\lambda, \gamma) \in N^0 \times N^0$, the following properties satisfied

$$(1) \mathcal{F}_{n,m,1,0}(x, y) = \frac{\mu(n) - (1+x)\varrho(n)}{n^S(n-1)},$$

$$(2) \mathcal{F}_{n,m,0,1}(x, y) = \frac{\mu(m) - (1+y)\varrho(m)}{m^S(m-1)},$$

$$(3) \mathcal{F}_{n,m,1,1}(x, y) = \left[\frac{\mu(n) - (1+x)\varrho(n)}{n^S(n-1)} \right] \left[\frac{\mu(m) - (1+y)\varrho(m)}{m^S(m-1)} \right],$$

$$(4) \mathcal{F}_{n,m,0,2}(x, y) = \left[\frac{[(4x^2 + 4x)\mu(n) - (2x^2 + 2x)\varrho(n)]}{n^{2S-1}(n-1)(n-2)} + \frac{[(8 + 22x + 16x^2)\mu(n) - (6 + 18x + 14x^2)\varrho(n)]}{n^{2S}(n-1)(n-2)} \right],$$

$$(5) \mathcal{F}_{n,m,0,2}(x, y) = \left[\frac{[(4y^2 + 4y)\mu(m) - (2y^2 + 2y)\varrho(m)]}{m^{2S-1}(m-1)(m-2)} + \frac{[(8 + 22y + 16y^2)\mu(m) - (6 + 18y + 14y^2)\varrho(m)]}{m^{2S}(m-1)(m-2)} \right],$$

$$(6) \mathcal{F}_{n,m,4,0}(x, y) = O\left(\frac{1}{n^{2S+2}}\right),$$

$$(7) \mathcal{F}_{n,m,0,4}(x, y) = O\left(\frac{1}{m^{2S+2}}\right).$$

Lemma 2.5 : The operator $H_{n,n}(f; x, y)$ verifies following identities

$$(1) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{S+1} \mathcal{F}_{n,n,1,0}(x, y) = L_1 - (1+x)L_2,$$

$$(2) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{S+1} \mathcal{F}_{n,n,0,1}(x, y) = L_3 - (1+y)L_4,$$

$$(3) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{S+1} \mathcal{F}_{n,n,1,1}(x, y) = [L_1 - (1+x)L_2] [L_3 - (1+y)L_4],$$

$$(4) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{S+1} \mathcal{F}_{n,n,2,0}(x, y) = n^{S+1} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{n,n,0,2}(x, y) = 0,$$

where $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(n) = L_1$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varrho(n) = L_2$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(m) = L_3$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varrho(m) = L_4$.

In the following result, we establish a voronovskaya type asymptotic theorem.

Theorem 2.6: Let $f'' \in C_{u,v}(I^2)$ and the conditions (2.2), (2.3) are satisfies. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{S+1} (H_{n,n}(f; x, y) - f(x)) \\ = (L_1 - (1+x)L_2) f_x(x, y) + (L_3 - (1+y)L_4) f_y(x, y) \\ + ([L_1 - (1+x)L_2] [L_3 - (1+y)L_4]) f_{xy}(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

Proof : For $f'' \in C_{u,v}(I^2)$, by the Taylor formula, we get

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, z) = f(x, y) + (t-x)f_x(x, y) + (z-y)f_y(x, y) + \frac{1}{2} \left((t-x)^2 f_{xx}(x, y) + 2(t-x)(z-y)f_{xy}(x, y) + (z-y)^2 f_{yy}(x, y) \right) \\ + \eta(t, z; x, y) \sqrt{(t-x)^4 + (z-y)^4}. \end{aligned}$$

where $\eta(t, z; x, y) \rightarrow 0$ as $(t, z) \rightarrow (x, y)$.

Using $H_{n,n}(\cdot; x, y)$ on both sides, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H_{n,n}(f; x, y) - f(x, y) = f_x(x, y) H_{n,n}((t-x); x, y) + f_y(x, y) H_{n,n}((z-y); x, y) \\ + \frac{1}{2} f_{xx}(x, y) H_{n,n}((t-x)^2; x, y) + f_{xy}(x, y) H_{n,n}((t-x)(z-y); x, y) \\ + \frac{1}{2} f_{yy}(x, y) H_{n,n}((z-y)^2; x, y) + H_{n,n} \left(\eta(t, z; x, y) \sqrt{(t-x)^4 + (z-y)^4}; x, y \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using Lemma (2.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{S+1} (H_{n,n}(f; x, y) - f(x)) \\ = (L_1 - (1+x)L_2) f_x(x, y) + (L_3 - (1+y)L_4) f_y(x, y) \\ + ([L_1 - (1+x)L_2] [L_3 - (1+y)L_4]) f_{xy}(x, y) \\ + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{S+1} H_{n,n} \left(\eta(t, z; x, y) \sqrt{(t-x)^4 + (z-y)^4}; x, y \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Now, by applying Cauchy – Schwartz inequality to the least term of (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| H_{n,n} \left(\eta(t, z; x, y) \sqrt{(t-x)^4 + (z-y)^4}; x, y \right) \right| &\leq \left(H_{n,n}(\eta^2(t, z; x, y); x, y) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(H_{n,n}((t-x)^4 + (z-y)^4; x, y) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left(H_{n,n}(\eta^2(t, z; x, y); x, y) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(H_{n,n}((t-x)^4; x, y) + H_{n,n}((z-y)^4; x, y) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem (2.2) $H_{n,n}(\eta^2(t, z; x, y); x, y) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and from Lemma (2.4), we have

$$H_{n,n}((t-x)^4; x, y) = O\left(\frac{1}{n^{2S+2}}\right), H_{n,n}((z-y)^4; x, y) = O\left(\frac{1}{n^{2S+2}}\right).$$

Therefore ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{S+1} H_{n,n} \left(\eta(t, z; x, y) \sqrt{(t-x)^4 + (z-y)^4}; x, y \right) = 0. \quad (2.7)$$

Combining (2.6) and (2.7) yields the desired result. ■

3. Degree of approximation

Let $C_W(I^2)$ be the space of all uniformly continuous functions on I^2 equipped with the norm

$$\|f\| = \sup |f(x, y)|, \quad (x, y) \in I^2.$$

The complete modulus of continuity for the bivariate situation is defined as follows for $f \in C_W(I^2)$ and $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$:

$$\omega(f; \delta_1, \delta_2) = \sup\{|f(t, z) - f(x, y)| : (t, z), (x, y) \in I^2 \text{ and } |t - x| \leq \delta_1, |z - y| \leq \delta_2\} \quad (3.1)$$

Further, the partial moduli of continuity with respect to x and y is defined by

$$\omega_1(f; \delta_1) = \sup\{|f(t, z) - f(x, z)| : z \in I \text{ and } |t - x| \leq \delta_1\} \quad (3.2)$$

$$\omega_2(f; \delta_2) = \sup\{|f(t, z) - f(t, y)| : t \in I \text{ and } |z - y| \leq \delta_2\} \quad (3.3)$$

The following inequalities hold for the aforementioned smoothness moduli .

- (i) $|f(t, z) - f(x, y)| \leq \omega\left(f; \sqrt{(t-x)^2 + (z-y)^2}\right),$
- (ii) $|f(t, z) - f(x, z)| \leq \omega_1(f; |t-x|),$
- (iii) $|f(t, z) - f(t, y)| \leq \omega_2(f; |z-y|).$

Theorem 3.1 : For $f \in C_W(I^2)$ and $(x, y) \in I^2$, we arrive

$$|H_{n,m}(f; x, y) - f(x, y)| \leq 2(\omega(f; \delta)) \quad (3.4)$$

Proof . From the definition of the complete modulus of continuity for $f \in C_W(I^2)$, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{n,m}(f; x, y) - f(x, y)| &\leq H_{n,m}(|f(t, z) - f(x, y)|; x, y) \\ &\leq H_{n,m}\left(\omega\left(f; \sqrt{(t-x)^2 + (z-y)^2}\right); x, y\right) \\ &\leq \omega(f; \delta) \left[1 + \frac{1}{\delta} H_{n,m}\left(\sqrt{(t-x)^2 + (z-y)^2}; x, y\right)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

For any $\delta > 0$, we have

$$|H_{n,m}(f; x, y) - f(x, y)| \leq \omega(f; \delta) \left[1 + \frac{1}{\delta} (H_{n,m}((t-x)^2 + (z-y)^2; x, y))^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]$$

Now , choosing $\delta = \sqrt{\mathcal{F}_{n,m,2,0}(x, y) + \mathcal{F}_{n,m,0,2}(x, y)}$, we get the desired result . ■

Theorem 3.2 : For $f \in C_W(I^2)$, then for all $(x, y) \in I^2$, we have

$$|H_{n,m}(f; x, y) - f(x, y)| \leq 2(\omega_1(f; \delta_1) + \omega_2(f; \delta_2)). \quad (3.5)$$

Proof . For $f \in C_W(I^2)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{n,m}(f; x, y) - f(x, y)| &\leq H_{n,m}(|f(t, z) - f(x, y)|; x, y) \\ &\leq H_{n,m}(|f(t, z) - f(x, z)|; x, y) + H_{n,m}(|f(x, z) - f(x, y)|; x, y) \\ &\leq \omega_1(f; \delta_1) \left[1 + \frac{1}{\delta_1} H_{n,m}(|t - x|; x, y) \right] + \omega_2(f; \delta_2) \left[1 + \frac{1}{\delta_2} H_{n,m}(|z - y|; x, y) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Utilizing the Cauchy – Schwartz inequality for any $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{n,m}(f; x, y) - f(x, y)| &\leq \omega_1(f; \delta_1) \left[1 + \frac{1}{\delta_1} \sqrt{H_{n,m}((t-x)^2; x, y)} \right] + \omega_2(f; \delta_2) \left[1 + \frac{1}{\delta_2} \sqrt{H_{n,m}((z-y)^2; x, y)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now , taking $\delta_1 = \sqrt{\mathcal{F}_{n,m,2,0}(x, y)}$ and $\delta_2 = \sqrt{\mathcal{F}_{n,m,0,2}(x, y)}$, we obtain the proof of this theorem .

Theorem 3.3 : Let $f \in C_W^1(I^2)$. Then ,we have

$$|H_{n,m}(f; x, y) - f(x, y)| \leq \left\| \frac{\partial f(x, y)}{\partial x} \right\| \sqrt{\mathcal{F}_{n,m,2,0}(x, y)} + \left\| \frac{\partial f(x, y)}{\partial y} \right\| \sqrt{\mathcal{F}_{n,m,0,2}(x, y)}.$$

Proof . By Taylors formula we can write

$$f(t, z) - f(x, y) = \int_x^t \frac{\partial f(\tilde{a}, y)}{\partial \tilde{a}} d\tilde{a} + \int_y^z \frac{\partial f(x, \tilde{u})}{\partial \tilde{u}} d\tilde{u}. \quad (3.6)$$

Now applying $H_{n,m}(\cdot; x, y)$ on both sides (3.6) ,we are lead to

$$|H_{n,m}(f; x, y) - f(x, y)| \leq H_{n,m} \left(\left| \int_x^t \frac{\partial f(\tilde{a}, y)}{\partial \tilde{a}} d\tilde{a} \right|; x, y \right) + H_{n,m} \left(\left| \int_y^z \frac{\partial f(x, \tilde{u})}{\partial \tilde{u}} d\tilde{u} \right|; x, y \right).$$

Since

$$\left| \int_x^t \frac{\partial f(\tilde{a}, y)}{\partial \tilde{a}} d\tilde{a} \right| \leq \int_x^t \left| \frac{\partial f(\tilde{a}, y)}{\partial \tilde{a}} \right| d\tilde{a} \leq \left\| \frac{\partial f(x, y)}{\partial x} \right\| |t - x|$$

and

$$\left| \int_y^z \frac{\partial f(x, \tilde{u})}{\partial \tilde{u}} d\tilde{u} \right| \leq \int_y^z \left| \frac{\partial f(x, \tilde{u})}{\partial \tilde{u}} \right| d\tilde{u} \leq \left\| \frac{\partial f(x, y)}{\partial y} \right\| |z - y|.$$

We obtain

$$|H_{n,m}(f; x, y) - f(x, y)| \leq \left\| \frac{\partial f(x, y)}{\partial x} \right\| H_{n,m}(|t - x|; x, y) + \left\| \frac{\partial f(x, y)}{\partial y} \right\| H_{n,m}(|z - y|; x, y).$$

Now, applying the Cauchy - Schwarz inequality, we get

$$|H_{n,m}(f; x, y) - f(x, y)| \leq \left\| \frac{\partial f(x, y)}{\partial x} \right\| \sqrt{H_{n,m}((t - x)^2; x, y)} + \left\| \frac{\partial f(x, y)}{\partial y} \right\| \sqrt{H_{n,m}((z - y)^2; x, y)}$$

This completes the proof . $\blacksquare$

4. Some approximation results in Bögel space

Using Bögel continuous functions, we present the GBS case of the operators $H_{n,m}(f; x, y)$ in this section. Bögel was the first to use the concept of the B-continuous and B-bounded functions [4]. Let X and Y be compact real intervals and $\Delta_{(t,z)}f(x, y)$ be mixed difference operators of f defined by $\Delta_{(t,z)}f(x, y) = f(t, z) - f(t, y) - f(x, z) + f(x, y)$ for $(t, z), (x, y) \in X \times Y$.

A function $f: X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called B - continuous (Bögel continuous) at $(x, y) \in X \times Y$, if

$$\lim_{(t,z) \rightarrow (x,y)} \Delta_{(t,z)}f(x, y) = 0 \quad (4.1)$$

A function $f: X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called B-differentiable (Bögel differentiable) at $(x, y) \in X \times Y$, if the following limit exists and is finite

$$\lim_{(t,z) \rightarrow (x,y)} \frac{\Delta_{(t,z)}f(x, y)}{(t - x)(z - y)} \quad (4.2)$$

Note that by $C_b(X \times Y)$ and $D_b(X \times Y)$, we denote the spaces of all B-continuous and B-differentiable functions on $X \times Y$, respectively .

A function $f: X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called B-bounded (Bögel bounded) in $X \times Y$, if there exists $M > 0$ such that $|\Delta_{(t,z)}f(x, y)| < M$ for all $(t, z), (x, y) \in X \times Y$.

Let $B_b(X \times Y)$ be the space of all B-bounded on $X \times Y$, with the norm

$$\|f\|_B = \sup_{(t,z), (x,y) \in X \times Y} |\Delta_{(t,z)}f(x, y)|$$

For $f \in B_b(I_{hk})$, the mixed modulus of smoothness is defined as

$$\omega_{mixed}(f; \delta_1, \delta_2) = \sup\{|\Delta_{(t,z)}f(x, y)| : |t - x| \leq \delta_1, |z - y| \leq \delta_2\}. \quad (4.3)$$

For all $(t, z), (x, y) \in X \times Y$ and $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$. Also, for any $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 > 0$, the following inequality holds

$$\omega_{mixed}(f; \varphi_1 \delta_1, \varphi_2 \delta_2) \leq (1 + \varphi_1)(1 + \varphi_2) \omega_{mixed}(f; \delta_1, \delta_2) \quad (4.4)$$

Let $C_b(I_{hk})$ denote the set of all B - continuous functions on I_{hk} .

Now, we construct GBS operators for $f \in C_b(I_{hk})$ associated with the operators given by equation (2.1) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{G}_{n,m}(f; x, y) &= H_{n,m}(f(t, y) + f(x, z) - f(t, z); x, y) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P_{n,k}(x) P_{m,j}(y) \\ &\quad \times \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} B_{n,k}(t) B_{m,j}(z) \left[f\left(x + \frac{(t-x)}{n^s}, y\right) + f\left(x, y + \frac{(z-y)}{m^s}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - f\left(x + \frac{(t-x)}{n^s}, y + \frac{(z-y)}{m^s}\right) \right] dt dz. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Theorem 4.1 . For every $f \in C_b(I_{hk})$ and for each $(x, y) \in I_{hk}$, there holds the following inequality

$$|\mathbb{G}_{n,m}(f; x, y) - f(x, y)| \leq 4\omega_{mixed}\left(f; \sqrt{H_{n,m}((t-x)^2; x, y)}, \sqrt{H_{n,m}((z-y)^2; x, y)}\right)$$

Proof. Using the definition of $\omega_{mixed}(f; \delta_1, \delta_2)$ and the property (4.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta_{(t,z)}f(x, y)| &\leq \omega_{mixed}(f; |t - x|, |z - y|) \\ &\leq \left(1 + \frac{|t - x|}{\delta_1}\right) \left(1 + \frac{|z - y|}{\delta_2}\right) \omega_{mixed}(f; \delta_1, \delta_2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

For all $(t, z), (x, y) \in I_{hk}$ and $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$. From the definition of $\Delta_{(t,z)}f(x, y)$, we have

$$f(t, y) + f(x, z) - f(t, z) = f(x, y) - \Delta_{(t,z)}f(x, y). \quad (4.7)$$

Applying the operator $H_{n,m}(f; x, y)$ on both sides equation (4.7) and using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{G}_{n,m}(f; x, y) - f(x, y)| &\leq \omega_{mixed}(f; \delta_1, \delta_2) \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\delta_1} \sqrt{H_{n,m}((t-x)^2; x, y)} + \frac{1}{\delta_2} \sqrt{H_{n,m}((z-y)^2; x, y)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\delta_1 \delta_2} \sqrt{H_{n,m}((t-x)^2; x, y)} \sqrt{H_{n,m}((z-y)^2; x, y)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, taking $\delta_1 = \sqrt{\mathcal{F}_{n,m,2,0}(x, y)}$ and $\delta_2 = \sqrt{\mathcal{F}_{n,m,0,2}(x, y)}$, leads us to the required result. ■

The Lipschitz class of B-continuous function : For $c_1, c_2 \in (0,1]$ and $f \in C_b(I_{hk})$, the Lipschitz class $Lip_M(c_1, c_2)$ of f is defined as follows

$$Lip_M(c_1, c_2) = [f \in C_b(I_{hk}) : |\Delta_{(t,z)} f(x, y)| \leq M |t - x|^{c_1} |z - y|^{c_2}]$$

For all $(t, z), (x, y) \in I_{hk}$ and M is positive constant.

Theorem 4.2 . Let $f \in Lip_M(c_1, c_2)$ and $c_1, c_2 \in (0,1]$. Then for any $(x, y) \in I_{hk}$, we have

$$|\mathbb{G}_{n,m}(f; x, y) - f(x, y)| \leq M \left(H_{n,m}((t-x)^2; x, y) \right)^{\frac{c_1}{2}} \left(H_{n,m}((z-y)^2; x, y) \right)^{\frac{c_2}{2}}$$

Proof . For $f \in Lip_M(c_1, c_2)$, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{G}_{n,m}(f; x, y) - f(x, y)| &\leq H_{n,m}(|\Delta_{(t,z)} f(x, y)|; x, y) \\ &\leq M H_{n,m}(|t - x|^{c_1} |z - y|^{c_2}; x, y) \\ &\leq M H_{n,m}(|t - x|^{c_1}; x, y) H_{n,m}(|z - y|^{c_2}; x, y) \end{aligned}$$

In the view of Lemma (2.1) and using Holder's inequality for $(p_1, q_1) = \left(\frac{2}{c_1}, \frac{2}{2-c_1}\right)$ and

$(p_2, q_2) = \left(\frac{2}{c_2}, \frac{2}{2-c_2}\right)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{G}_{n,m}(f; x, y) - f(x, y)| &\leq M \left(H_{n,m}((t-x)^2; x, y) \right)^{\frac{c_1}{2}} \left(H_{n,m}(e_{00}; x, y) \right)^{\frac{2-c_1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \left(H_{n,m}((z-y)^2; x, y) \right)^{\frac{c_2}{2}} \left(H_{n,m}(e_{00}; x, y) \right)^{\frac{2-c_2}{2}} \\ &= M \left(H_{n,m}((t-x)^2; x, y) \right)^{\frac{c_1}{2}} \left(H_{n,m}((z-y)^2; x, y) \right)^{\frac{c_2}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

This completes the result . ■

5. Erroe estimation tables

In this seccation , with the help of Maple software , we compare the error convergence of operators (2.1) and (4.6) .

Let $R_n^S = |H_{n,m}(f; x, y) - f(x, y)|$ and $D_n^S = |\mathbb{G}_{n,m}(f; x, y) - f(x, y)|$.

Table 1 : $f(x, y) = \sin 2x + \sin 2y$, $0 \leq x, y \leq 2$

(x, y)	R_{30}^0	R_{30}^2	D_{30}^2
(1.1,1.1)	0.513223748	0.000203277	0.000013069
(0.9,0.9)	0.460413109	0.000066988	0.000000075
(1.7,1.7)	0.2465443197	0.0116939153	0.0110236246
(0.6,0.6)	0.200323323	0.000088441	0.000000001
(1.5,1.5)	0.1448846430	0.0014629709	0.0010966631
(0.3,0.3)	0.057546796	0.000164324	0

Table 2 : $f(x, y) = y^2 e^{-\frac{x}{3}}$, $0 \leq x, y \leq 2$

(x, y)	R_{20}^0	R_{20}^3	D_{30}^3
(1.7,1.7)	0.560299071	0.000212499	0.0002036992
(1.5,1.5)	0.489527117	0.000006371	0.0000016050
(1.1,1.1)	0.3472298217	0.0000170284	0.0000000039
(0.9,0.9)	0.2774285549	0.0000141636	0.0000000001
(0.6,0.6)	0.1765908599	0.0000093067	0
(0.3,0.3)	0.08400748318	0.00000441155	0.00000000004

6. Conclusion

In this manuscript, we noticed that the new Baskakov-Bate operator is better for a function than the classical Baskakov-Bate operator. We discussed operators' convergence rates using partial moduli of continuity. Finally, we constructed the GBS operator of these operators and studied approximation in Bögel continuous functions using a mixed modulus of continuity.

ORCID

Ahmed F. Jabbar <https://orcid.org/0009-0009-3122-0747>

Amal K. Hassan <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7175-9976>

References

- [1] **A. Aral and H.Erbay** , "Parametric generalization of Baskakov operators , " *Mathematical Communications*, vol. 24, pp. 119-131 , 2019.
- [2] **D. Barbosu and C. Muraru** , "Approximating B-continuous functions using GBS operators of Bernstein–Schurer–Stancu type based on q-integers , " *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 259 , pp. 80-87 , 2015 , <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2015.02.030> .
- [3] **V. Baskakov**, "An instance of a sequence of linear positive operators in the space of continuous functions , " *Doklady Akademii Nayk SSSR*, vol. 113 , no.2 , pp. 249-251 , 1957.
- [4] **K. Bogel**, " Uber die mehrdimensionale differentiation," *Jahresber. Dtsch. Math. Ver.*, vol.65 , pp. 45-71,1962.
- [5] **M. Gurdek, L. Rempulska and M. Skorupka** , " The Baskakov operators for functions of two variables , " *Collectanea Mathematica* , vol. 50 , no.3 , pp. 289- 302, 1999.
- [6] **A. Jabbar and A. Hassan** , " Improving the approximation order of Baskakov-Beta operators based on a parameter , " *International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science* , vol.20, no.2 , pp. 543-548, 2025 , DOI: <https://doi.org/10.69793/ijmcs/02.2025/jabber> .
- [7] **M. Rani , N.Rao and P.Mailk** , " Generalized Bivariate Baskakov Durrmeyer Operators and Associated GBS Operators , " *Filomat* , vol.36, no.5 , pp. 1539-1555, 2022 , <https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL2205539R> .
- [8] **S. Sonker and Priyanka** , " Rate of Convergence of parametrically generalized bivariate Baskakov-Stancu operators," *Filomat* , vol.37,no.27 , pp. 9197-9214, 2023 , <https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL2327197S> .